**Electronically Filed** Docket: CONSOLIDATED 2008-3 CRB DD (2007-2011 SRF)

Filing Date: 11/05/2019 06:45:12 PM EST

### COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES WASHINGTON, D.C.

DISTRIBUTION OF DART ROYALTY FUNDS

CONSOLIDATED DOCKET NO. 2008-3 CRB DD (2007-2011) SRF/CO

PRO SE MOTION REBUTS CONTRADICTS AARC REPLY 10-29-19 TO DISMISS AS UNTIMELY OUT OF TIME AND TO DENY AARC MOTION TO DISMISS AS UNTIMELY

Pro Se counter affidavit 28 USCA ss.1746 declaration in chief compulsory counterclaim asserted as timely and cognizable. AARC compulsory counterclaim is not cognizable based on failure to act assert counterclaim 6-22-19 and 8-9-19 PTP in original action by deadline as untimely. May not be brought in later for being untimely response 10-29-19. Thus AARC claim for relief is baseless, w/o merit and moot to dismiss.

AARC bad motives pattern of simulated facts Admission by Silence failure to act in timely manner before deadlines to dismiss to reply to unopposed evidence by Circle God Network Inc. d/b/a David Powell 37 CFR s.s 383 and 384 respectively.

AARC repeated retaliatory wrongful conduct faint sham exception pleader to mislead judges decision with prosecutor affirmative misconduct legal prejudice. Based on grounds with clarity how, why, on the basis of active fraudulent misrepresentation concealment and nondisclosure of ultimate facts with interloper interference intervening Officers of the Court. Ignoring Judges order in reckless disregard wanton misconduct of 6-19-19 for claimant to file late PTP. Then AARC retaliatory repeated pattern Sham Exception dates of 4-29-19, 57-19 denied by judges order again using false language and false statements. Claimant CGN Inc. d/b/a David Powell establishes prima facie case.

Pro Se claimant asserts injury in fact Standing and Third Party Standing enforceable in the Zone of Interest points and suggestion of error review Prove Up accomplice liability. Submission to a special finding of facts spoliation bursting bubble theory hot documents enclosed smoking gun untimely past deadlines non reply nondisclosure suppression of evidence abuse of power with undue influences legal prejudice shown.

In addition, immediate breach also of payment twice for same Dart proceedings now requesting refund for \$150.00. Examination of record will prove full and literal proof dates paid 1-25-19 and again 6-22-19 offer of proof enclosed. For irreparable harm.

Pro Se asserts Equitable and Judicial Estoppel substantial prejudice unless estoppels succeeds. Presumption disappears on presumed facts have been contradicted by Pro Se credible corroborated evidence. A Anti-Competitive conduct permanent Exclusion a sham exception to harm Pro Se claimant entitlement exclusion participant in Royalty Distribution Funds ever. Noerr Pennington Doctrine United Mine Workers vs Pennington, 381 U.S. 657, 85 S. CT. 1585 (1965).

Thus AARC participants and record labels repeat fraud on the court to gain economical advantage monopoly power leverage with fabricated evidence under false pretext. A Crime Fraud Exception Clark vs U.S., 289 U.S. I, 53 S. CT. 465 (1933).

A Wire and Mail Fraud as AARC being participants designated common agent.

AARC did not file a reply in either intial pleading or direct statement pleading 10-29-19 by deadlines. Thus any AARC participants and labels w/ footnotes (1-3) argue that any opposition at this point is untimely for this 2007 SRF/CO for Pro Se claimant partial distribution has ended to the extent that is untimely for judges to intervene interference interloper. Thus AARC participants cannot revisit Judges decision 6-19-19, 4-29-19, 5-7-19, and 10-29-19 repeated motion to dismiss late petition again order.

AARC participants and labels lacks of legally sufficient evidentry basis for a fact finder Judge to rule in AARC participants favor. The Judges have recently denied similar motion in this proceeding. (The judge cannot grant a request they already denied) the AARC motion should also be denied because it is incoherent sham pleading pattern. Pro Se motion the judge should dismiss AARC entirely from this proceeding all together for affirmative misconduct vexation delay and to disburse Pro Se claimant 2007 Royalty distribution 2% for each sub funds immediately or more at its discretion for retaliatory prosecutorial officers of the court willful misconduct. Pro Se exploitation inescapable peril to proceed after judges approved order. Coercive Relief requested accordingly ARRC motion should be denied.

Respectfully Submitted,

David Powell, Pro Se

POB 010950 Miami, Fl. 33101 (305) 539-1755

Davidpowell008@yahoo.com

### **PROOF OF DELIVERY**

I hereby certify that on November 4, 2019 a true and correct copy was sent to:

Linda R. Bocchi Esq AARC 701 N. Fairfax Street Ste. 601 Alexandria, Va 22314

lbocci@AARCroyalities.com

Herman Kelly, Pro Se POB 14157 Detroit, MI 48214 hermankelly@att.net

Eugene Curry 4000 Gypsy Lane Philadelphia, Pa 19129 <a href="mailto:lambchopsmusic@voicenet.com">lambchopsmusic@voicenet.com</a>

Signed: /s/ David Powell, Pro Se

Status	Docket#	Caption	Processed	Document(s)
✓ Approved Payment Complete	CONSOLIDATED 2008-3 CRB DD (2007-2011 SRF)	Distribution of the 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011 Digital Audio Recording Technology Royalty Funds for the Sound Recordings Funds	06/24/2019 01:59 PM EDT	Petition to Participate in the Dart Distribution Proceedings 2007-2011 SRF Legal Discussion Statutes and Regulations distribution of dart royalty funds dkt. no 2008-3 CRB DD (2007-2011 SRF) motion for leave to file of late petition to participate ss. 351.1(d).pdf Payment Complete
<b>※</b> Declined	2009-3 CRB DD 2008	Distribution of 2008 Digital Audio Recording Funds	08/05/2019 04:33 PM EDT	Motion other resent docket no. 2008-3 CRB DD (2007-2011SRF) dart royalty funds new doc 2019-01-15 17.56.00_20190115175802.pdf
* Declined	CONSOLIDATED 2008-3 CRB DD (2007-2011 SRF)	Distribution of the 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011 Digital Audio Recording Technology Royalty Funds for the Sound Recordings Funds	09/23/2019 03:09 PM EDT	(PROPOSED) ORDER NIL DICIT DEFAULT GRANTED CLAIM FOR COERCIVE RELIEF 2ND RELIEF DAMAGES SOUGHT FOR DEFAMATORY STATEMENTS SUA SPONTE 2019-09-11_15-53-28.doc

To: David powell



# Your eCRB filing fee payment has been submitted

January 24, 2019 at 8:14 PM

david,

You submitted the following Copyright Royalty Board filing fee payment through the eCRB system:

Filing date: 01/24/2019

Payment amount: \$150.00

Filing details: Petition to Participate in Docket #CONSOLIDATED 2008-3 CRB DD (2007-2011 SRF) for Powell, David.

Pay.gov will process your payment. Please contact Pay.gov with any questions regarding the status of that payment.

Thank you,

OCDR Hear Sunnart Toam

## Documents

Filed Doc. #: 04959

Title: Petition to Participate

Type: Petition to Participate

Payment Status: Payment Complete

Participant(s):

Not Representing:

Powell, David

Status: Approved

	MAR 2.1 2019
	TRO NOTICE AVEREITENT PG LOF 3
and the second of the second o	TRO NOTICE AVEREITENT COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD -19
To:	COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES CUSTOMER SUPPORT
RE:	ECRB COMPUTER SOFTWARE PROBLEMS NOTICE
l	I DONTELLE CIRCLE GOD NETWORK
18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1	INT. , THE TO A PREDICATED REPEATED PATTERN
	OF ECRBONLINE TECHNICAL PROBLEMS.
2-	THUS FILERS ABOVE HAVE GIVEN IMMEDIATE
a sometimes as as as as as	NOTICE TO COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD. THAT OF
••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ENCOUNTERING SOFTWARE PATTERN PROBLETTS.
	DENTING ONLINE ACCESS TO PROPER POPUP
	SCREEN SEQUENCE REPEATED REJECTS, TO FILE
	BEEKING LEAVE FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
	TO REFILE PETATION TO PARTICIPATE. DART CASENO.
	2008-3 CRB DD (2007-2011). THUS PRO SE TMR.
	POWELL CANNOT COMPLETE FILING BY JUDGES
	DEADLINE FOR RULES. (M) (1-3) 350. 6(b)(4) TECHNICAL PROBLEMS 350.5 (M) (1-3).
Marine a Marine and Section 5	TECHNICAL PROBLEMS 350.5 (m) [1-3).
3.	AS OF THIS DATE FILER dIbla DAVID POWELL
	LAVE GIVEN EMAIL, TELEPHONE, SPOKE, & WRITTEN
S	ON FORMATION PROVED UP NOTICE. ON DATES
Winter Commission of the Commi	5.14, 15, 2019 FT ADELINE & RODIN IN CUSTOMER
	SUPPORT. AS OF THIS LETTER & PROOF GOOD
<u> </u>	AUSE SHOWN AGAIN. PER SUA SPONTELECRB
Ar	RULES THE FILER DAVID POWELL CAN MAKE THE
	HING VIA EMAIL FORTHCOMING. THE FILING
	LATE WILL MATCH TIMESTAMP DATE IN EMAIL.

-

4. DAVID POWELL AS COMMON AGENT FILIER CLAIMANT PRACTICE AND PATTERN PERSISTENT VEXATIONS DELAY HARASSMENT BY OFFICERS OF THE COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD FITS EMPLOYEES WIRE FRAUD. TO DENY MR. POWELL EQUAL COMPUTER ALCESS TO ECRBOSON CHILLING EFFECTS NECESSARY IMPLICATION. 5. PROVED UP SMOKING GUN HOT DOCUMENTS PROOF ENCLOSED ETMATTER OF RECORD OF MR. PEWELL & CIRCLE GOD NETWORK INC DISPARATE TREATMENT. TO MEET ALL TIMELY FILING DEADLONES WREPLY TO PARTICIPATE AS OTHERS INALL PROCEEDINGS. TUR. POWELL HAS A SUBSTANTIAL SIGNIFIC ANT INTEREST. BAT MOTIVE TO ALCESS, CLASS BASED ANIMUS CIVIL RIGHTS CONSPIRACYS 2ND NOTICE 1-22-19 PROOF ENCLOSED TO TIME! Y PARTICIPATE PATTERN. 6. A SPECIAL FLADING EVIDENCE OF FACTS, LAW, 6 ARGUMENTS SUBMISSION TO FWDWGS. RESPECT FULLY SUBMITTED David Rowell, PROSE 305 539-1755 POB 010950 Thami, FL 33101 MOJOCHAY @ 800 LISWOOD DIVAD (ESCOJINS ESITED A

	PG 3043
	LUGLL HOTIFY PARTIES BY TEXT, E-MAIL, OR MAIL E-CRB REPLY TO PRESERVE MY VESTED RIGHTS.
	PROOF OF DELIVERY
	I CERTIFY THAT A TRUE COPIES WAS SENT HOTICE GIVEN ON MARCH 19, 2019 TO:
	COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD
	David Powell, PROSE
The state of the contract of the state of th	

Sz 360.22(T) BOYCOTTED ACTIVE CONCEALTH \$ 150.00 Dollars 10-10-17 CHECK RETURN IN 2019 Pay to the Copy RIGHT ROYALTY BOARD DAVID C. POWELL, SR. REV TR DAVID C. POWELL, JR. TTEE URTAD 31/100 P.O. BOX 10950 MIAMI, FL 33101 One Humadochile TIAK TOLKT & 10K JART 2009-20

This email sent for email filings computer problems not resolved by deadline as notification. Can not file notice of appearance as pro se and settlement agreement settling parties claimants and allocation phase participants. In all cable and satellite distribution prior and current proceedings. To include consolidated docket numbers: 14-crb-0010cd(2010-2013),14-crb-0011sd(2010-2013); 16-crb-0009cd(2014-2017),16-crb-0010sd(2014-2017) royalty payments. Comments and or verified motion to participate before December 10,2018 deadline. Sincerely David Powell, pro se 305 967-2021 or email reply

Mr David Powell filer complies,w/online technical difficulties due to uploading docket selection drop down list. No assign approval processed docket number. (m) (1-3);350.6(b)(4) written confirmation forthcoming to preserved vested rights. Secondly petition to participate verified motion agreed w/ settling parties for partial and final distribution 2013-6 CRB DD (2009-2011) MWF consolidated proceedings w/ cable and satellite distribution. Added to settlement list and allocation phase parties I and II. Dart parties objection is w/o merit baseless and moot as unreasonable decision verified motion countermanded order. No controversy exist in dart cable and satellite

### **Proof of Delivery**

I hereby certify that on Tuesday, November 05, 2019, I provided a true and correct copy of the Pro Se motion rebuts contradicts AARC reply 10-29-19 to dismiss as untimely out of time and to deny AARC motion to dismiss as untimely to the following:

AARC, represented by Linda Bocchi, Esq, served via Electronic Service at lbocchi@aarcroyalties.com

Curry, Eugene, represented by Eugene Curry Mr., served via Electronic Service at lambchopsmusic@voicenet.com

Signed: /s/ david powell